


I hereby certify that this paper and/or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "EXPRESS MAIL POST OFFICE TO ADDRESSEE" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated below and is addressed to: Mail Stop Patent Application, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.


Signature

DATE OF DEPOSIT: November 17, 2003

EXPRESS MAIL LABEL NO.: EV298900602US

**Inventors: Eric BLOUIN, Brian J. JAEGER, Barry A. KRITT,
Douglas A. LAW, Kuldip NANDA, and Paul A. ROBERTS**

**METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR ALLOWING A SYSTEM UNDER TEST (SUT) TO
BOOT A PLURALITY OF OPERATING SYSTEMS WITHOUT A NEED FOR
LOCAL MEDIA**

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to computer systems and more particularly to
5 the manufacturing of computer systems.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Figure 1 is a block diagram of a conventional architecture 10 for manufacturing a
10 computer system. The conventional architecture 10 includes a Level 2 server 12. There is
one level 2 server per physical line. The level 2 server 12 receives information for
manufacturing a particular system under test (SUT). The level 2 server functions as an
image repository and a legacy code repository. The level 2 server 12 is coupled to an
Ethernet switch 13. The Ethernet switch 13 provides access to a plurality of level 1 servers.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to computer systems and more particularly to the manufacturing of computer systems.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Figure 1 is a block diagram of a conventional architecture 10 for manufacturing a computer system. The conventional architecture 10 includes a Level 2 server 12. There is one level 2 server per physical line. The level 2 server 12 receives information for manufacturing a particular system under test (SUT). The level 2 server functions as an image repository and a legacy code repository. The level 2 server 12 is coupled to an Ethernet switch 13. The Ethernet switch 13 provides access to a plurality of level 1 servers. The level 1 server 14 includes a Windows-based server, a PXE Server (DOS only), and a DHCP Server. The level 1 server 14 is capable of preloading the appropriate image on each of a plurality of SUTs 18.

Traditional computer manufacturing processes such as those illustrated in Figure 1 rely on two things. First, they rely on local media to boot an SUT in the “manufacturing environment,” that is a pre-boot environment. At this point, the SUT has no operating system, minimal resources and minimal capabilities. The media could be an internal or external diskette, CD-ROM, or a USB memory device. Secondly, they rely on booting one particular operating system that the manufacturing process tools are standardized on. For example, the manufacturing process may be DOS-based or Linux-based. Once the OS is booted, additional process data can be obtained from the boot device, a network connection, or an HDD that was preloaded prior to machine assembly. If more than one operating system

is required, the operator is required to “change media” and re-boot. Managing different boot media requires boot media creation, labeling, wear management, and level control. Using local boot media also presumes that this media exists on all products or that a slave device such as a USB diskette drive can be inserted and removed from the process as necessary.

5 Software services exist that are written to the particular standards, such as the Intel PXE standard. These services provide a way for a known MAC address to be bound to an image based on tables that are set up in advance of the boot event. Alternatively, the service can be configured such that all machines of a given architecture get the same boot image. However, this does not provide a way to specify what image a particular SUT gets when the
10 MAC address is unknown until runtime. In addition, there is no mechanism for selecting an image from a set of images based on where an SUT is within the process.

 In addition, there are many “server dense” architectures such as the BladeCenter system manufactured by IBM Corporation. In such an architecture a single SUT includes up to 14 separate processing units therein. Typically, each of the processing units requires
15 different operating system configurations. There is no simple solution to specify images for each of the different processing units.

 Competitors, particularly those that do small volumes, may choose to handle manufacturing requirements manually using highly-trained operators. However, the lack of automation would reduce quality due to human error. A high-volume manufacturer will find
20 a manual process unacceptable.

 Accordingly, what is needed is a system and method for overcoming the above-identified problem. The present invention addresses such a need.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

A computer manufacturing system is disclosed. The computer manufacturing system comprises a system under test (SUT), the SUT including a network adapter and
5 a boot loader for loading the appropriate operating system. The SUT further includes a station for receiving customer orders for the SUT, the station including a sequencer. The sequencer obtains a boot selection file for the SUT from a directory. The SUT further retrieves and parses the boot selection file to obtain the operating system image to load and boot until the SUT is configured with the appropriate date.

10 A system and method in accordance with the present invention provides a means for a computer being manufactured (hereinafter called a system under test, or SUT) to boot an operating system without the need for any local media. In addition, it allows the SUT to boot any one of several operating systems as required, depending on the tools being run during that portion of the process. The system in accordance with the present invention allows the
15 operating system to be specified as an attribute of a manufacturing operation. The system in accordance with the present invention can switch between operating systems as required by the operations to be performed as specified by the appropriate personnel.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

20 Figure 1 is a block diagram of a conventional architecture for manufacturing a computer system.

Figure 2 is a block diagram of an architecture for manufacturing a computer
25 system in accordance with the present invention.

Figure 3 is a block diagram of a station in accordance with the present invention.

Figure 4 is a diagram of a STU in accordance with the present invention.

Figure 5 is a flow chart which illustrates the interaction between the sequencer 202 of
5 the station and the boot loader of the SUT.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The present invention relates generally to computer systems and more particularly to
10 the manufacturing of computer systems. The following description is presented to enable one
or ordinary skill in the art to make and use the invention and is provided in the context of a
patent application and its requirements. Various modifications to the preferred embodiment
and the generic principles and features described herein will be readily apparent to those skilled
in the art. Thus, the present invention is not intended to be limited to the embodiment shown
15 but is to be accorded the widest scope consistent with the principles and features described
herein.

In a method and system in accordance with the present invention, prior to a
manufacturing run, all necessary boot images are created and put on the manufacturing server.
During run-time, a system and method in accordance with the present invention identifies the
20 system under test (SUT) architecture, assigns an IP address, and loads the operating system
through the SUT's network adapter. The manufacturing server is responsible for IP address
assignment as well as providing the boot selection file to the SUT. A significant amount of the
system capability in accordance with the present invention, resides in the pre-boot code. This
pre-boot code runs on the SUT and is responsible for:

- 25 1. Ensuring that the networking software is loaded
2. Identifying the particular SUT

3. Changing the configured SUT identification into an entry in the SUT-specific directory.
4. Requesting the boot selection file based upon the SUT-specific identification
5. Boot-strapping the specified operating system based on the contents of the boot selection file

The operating system boot image required for each portion of the manufacturing process is specified as part of the product process definition. This definition is stored in the SUT-specific directory on the manufacturing server. Just prior to engaging the system in accordance with the present invention, the boot selection file on the server is updated, again in the SUT-specific directory. The SUT is then re-booted. The pre-boot code on the SUT will then retrieve and parse the boot selection file to obtain the operating system image to load and boot. This can be repeated as necessary throughout the process of configuring the SUT with the appropriate information.

To describe the features of the present invention in more detail, refer now to the following description along with the accompanying figures. Figure 2 is a block diagram of an architecture 100 for manufacturing a computer system in accordance with the present invention. The architecture includes a level 2 server 112. The level 2 server 112 functions as an image repository and a legacy code repository. The level 2 server 112 includes an SUT specific directly that stores the product process definition for the SUTs associated with the manufacturing process. The level 2 server 112 passes customer orders to the stations 200. The level 2 server 112 also launches code which performs the initial binding. The level 2 server 112 is coupled to a first Ethernet switch 113. The first Ethernet switch 113 provides access to a plurality of level 1 servers 114. The level 1 server 114 includes a plurality of servers

such as a Windows-based server, a PXE Server (DOS only), and a DHCP Server. Each of the level 1 servers 114 contain a SUT-specific (MTSN) directory. Each of the level 1 servers 114 is coupled to a second Ethernet switch 116. The second Ethernet switch 116 is coupled to a plurality of stations 200. Each station 200 is coupled to one system under test (SUT) 300.

5 Figure 3 is a block diagram of a station in accordance with the present invention. The station 200 in a preferred embodiment is LINUX-based. The station 200 includes a sequencer 202 for providing the appropriate operating system from the level 1 server 114 at the appropriate time in the manufacturing process. Accordingly, there is a one-to-one relationship between a station and an SUT.

10 The station 200 performs the in-process binding. The station 200 also launches the sequencer 202 based on the process definition file in the MTSN directory for a given SUT. Commands are executed on the station 200 for controlling the power cyclers or for providing service processor communication. The station 200 is preferably LINUX-based and includes a sequencer 202 that allows for identifying of each SUT. Referring back to Figure 2, a power
15 cycler 202 is coupled to the SUTs and the stations for cycling the SUTs on and off as required based upon commands from the stations..

 Figure 4 is a diagram of a SUT 300 in accordance with the present invention. The SUT 300 includes a network adapter and boot loader 304. The network adapter 302 is standard protocol compatible, such as being compatible with the PXE protocol. The boot loader 304
20 interacts with the sequencer 202 of station 200 to provide the appropriate operating system to the SUT in the manufacturing process. Commands are executed locally on the SUT to provide the booting of the SUT for the various manufacturing operations. Accordingly, the station 200 upon receipt of the proper commands from the level 1 server interacts with the SUT 300 to

facilitate the manufacturing process. To describe this interaction in more detail, refer to the following discussion in conjunction with the accompanying figures.

Figure 5 is a flow chart which illustrates the interaction between the sequencer 202 of the station 200 and the boot loader 304 of the SUT 300. First, the sequencer 202 obtains a boot selection file for the SUT 300 in the directory (MTSN) of the level 1 server 114, via step 402. The sequencer 202 can operate on a single processing unit within the SUT 300 or as in a multiple processor architecture such as the BladeCenter architecture by IBM Corporation. In a multiprocessor environment the sequencer 202 provides directory environment locations for each of the processing units and at each point in time in the manufacturing process.

Next, the sequencer 202 runs a command to modify the boot selection file for the SUT, via step 404. Then the sequencer 202 provides a re-boot command to restart the SUT 300, via step 406. Thereafter, the pre-boot code of the boot loader 304 in the SUT 300 is activated.

The boot loader 304 detects that the communication software (i.e. internet protocol stack) the SUT 300, is loaded, via step 408. Then the SUT 300 captures a MAC address from the network adapter 302, via step 410. Next, the boot loader 304 uses the MAC address to look up the MTSN in the sequencer 202, via step 412. Then, the boot loader 304 issues a command to retrieve the boot selection file based upon the MTSN, via step 414. The boot loader 304 then boot-straps the operating system specified in the boot selection file from the network, via step 416. Finally, the sequencer 202 issues the first command to run under a specified operating system, via step 418.

Accordingly, a system and method in accordance with the present invention allows for multiple operating systems to be provided to an SUT as an attribute of the manufacturing process. Accordingly, a particular operating system can be provided on SUT for one part of the

manufacturing while another operating system can be provided on the SUT for another part of the manufacturing process.

Advantages

5 The system and method in accordance with the present invention addresses the manufacturing requirements of the emerging Itanium-based products, as well as the emerging “server-dense” solutions. There is currently no industry manufacturing solution for these products.

1. No broad requirement for local media.

10 a. Broad product coverage facilitates usage on open bay (DASD-less) and diskette-less products.

 b. Solves local media issues by eliminating the need for local media creation, labeling, wear management, level control, and the costs associated with these activities.

15 c. Better throughput. In the case of an internal or external diskette, the system in accordance with the present invention provides faster boot times than its mechanical peers.

2. Support for multiple operating systems

20 a. Dynamic operating system selection allows a Test Engineer to select the appropriate operating system as an attribute of the manufacturing operation. The tool(s) used within the operation will determine the required operating system. For example, a SUT may be flashed under EFI 1.10, tested under Red Hat Linux 9.0, and exercised under Windows XP Pro. The sequence and frequency is determined by the test engineer for a given product.

b. No requirement for operating system standardization as any number of operating systems can be booted throughout the process. The system in accordance with the present invention is well suited as a cross-brand and cross-divisional solution since it does not require its users to standardize on any specific operating system. For example, one division
5 may be Microsoft-centric while another may have a strong affinity for Linux.

c. Support for contemporary operating systems removes the traditional, DOS-specific issues concerning memory management, device driver support, and the limitations of a single-tasking environment.

d. Automated, “human-less” manufacturing boot image updates can be
10 performed as no local media exists to gather, update, and/or replace. Updates are pushed to the servers via the network.

3. Support for Multiple Architectures

In addition to dynamic operating system selection, the system in accordance with the present invention also provides architecture flexibility.

15 Although the present invention has been described in accordance with the embodiments shown, one of ordinary skill in the art will readily recognize that there could be variations to the embodiments and those variations would be within the spirit and scope of the present invention. Accordingly, many modifications may be made by one of ordinary skill in the art without departing from the spirit and scope of the appended claims.